



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/815,634

04/02/2004

Kia Silverbrook

HYG002US

9823

24011 7590 09/29/2008
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD
393 DARLING STREET
BALMAIN, 2041
AUSTRALIA

EXAMINER

HESS, DANIEL A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2876

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/29/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/815,634	Applicant(s) SILVERBROOK ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIEL A. HESS	Art Unit 2876	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 July 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,9-13,16-20 and 38-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,9-13,16-20 and 38-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicant's amendment and arguments of 7/1/2008, which have been entered into the electronic file of record.

It is noted that examiner Daniel Hess has replaced examiner Lisa Caputo as the examiner of record in the present case. It is further noted that examiner Hess must withdraw indications of allowability made by Miss Caputo. Because of a change in the position of the Office, the present action is non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 3, 9-13, 16-20 and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spitz (US 5,633,488).

Re claim 1: Spitz teaches makes a very general statement of barcodes that clearly demonstrates that the invention as claimed is non-patentable (column 1, line 16):

“Virtually every retail product marketed in supermarkets, retail stores, discount outlets, as well as many other establishments, **utilize bar code symbols** at their point-of-sale terminals, to **monitor inventory levels**, to **generate orders for low inventory items**, etc. Parcel post companies rely heavily on the use of **bar code indicia** to **monitor the location and status of packages in transit.**”

Art Unit: 2876

A barcode is on an item. At a point of sale, the barcode will be sensed and this will be used to update the product status. In the above example, the inventory level of the item would be reduced if an item is sensed as being purchased and this is of course a change in the status of that item at some data store.

All that is lacking in this excerpt of Spitz is ‘a plurality of coded data portions’ but this it is well-known that many products have multiple copies of a barcode on different faces so that it is easier to scan at checkout.

In view of this, the ‘plurality of coded data portions’ on a product would have been obvious to make the checkout easier.

Re claims 3, 16, 17, 38-42: See discussion re claim 1, above.

Re claims 9, 11, 12: Barcodes can be employed to track items both in terms of adding inventory (such as returns or new shipments) and removing inventory (i.e. by purchase at POS). As such an inventory tracking system must be able to register both of these in association with barcode reads.

Re claim 10, 13: It is also known that cash registers typically have ‘add’ and ‘remove’ buttons such that a cashier can indicate an item has been purchased or remove this indication of purchasing. The cash register can broadly be considered part of the sensing device.

Re claims 18-20:

Spitz has discussed “**utilize bar code symbols** at their point-of-sale terminals, to **monitor inventory levels**, to **generate orders for low inventory items.**” Of course the

Art Unit: 2876

determination that it is necessary to generate a new order involves comparison with some threshold, a 'predetermined number.'

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pandey et al. (US 20030235169) teaches (see for instance paragraph 0065) managing shopping lists and inventory and reshelving needs using a barcoding and communications system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL A. HESS whose telephone number is (571)272-2392. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Daniel A Hess/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2876

9/25/08